

SCORING OF AMHI FUNDING REQUEST

The ratings are compiled from a consensus of the Budget Meeting Attendees and will be recorded in the meeting minutes. Rate each section using a scale of 1 to 5, where:

1 = Poor · 2 = Fair · 3 = Satisfactory · 4 = Good · 5 = Excellent

1. Where does the proposal rank with the Region's priorities.

Rating: ____/5

2. Rank how closely the proposal fills a GAP if it were funded.

Rating: ____/5

3. Rank how well the request describes the proposed program.

Does it have complete information?

Does the budget provide detail on what the monies will be spent on?

Rating: ____/5

4. In comparison to other funding requests, where does this proposal rank in priority?

Rating: ____/5

5. If the program was funded in previous years, was it successful?

Did the requestor provide the number of people served in the previous year?

Were they over or under in the number of people served versus the projected number?

Was each County equally served?

Were they over or under in last year's spending?

Did something happen to cause the over/under spending? What was the reason?

What was the cost per person?

Yes (5) No (0) Maybe (3)

Rating: ____/5

6. When you consider the cost per person, is there adequate justification to fund this request?

Yes (5) No (0) Maybe (3)

Rating: ____/5

7. Is the program sustainable without the ongoing use of AMHI funds? Yes No Maybe

Total Score: ____ out of 30 possible points.

Recommend Approval: Yes No

Comments: _____